

Observations on queries/ suggestions raised during the webinar held on 01.07.2021 w.r.t draft MPD-2041

S. No.	Name	Queries/ suggestions	Observations
1.	Ms. Swati Janu	Feedback window needs to be longer given unprecedented circumstances of being in a pandemic. Act needs revision of this specific time.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957 the time limit for submitting suggestion/objection is 45 days.
2.	Sh. Aravind Unni	Kindly extend the 45 day timeline to allow more people to study them and share constructive feedback to DDA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957 the time limit for submitting suggestion/objection is 45 days.
3.	Ms. Saleha Sapra	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Extensions for public participation needs to be reconsidered. ✓ There is need to maximise public outreach for the complex document so that maximum people can place their objections. ✓ Acknowledgment on special provisions for marginalised groups accessing public spaces. The plan is gender blind persons with disabilities and children have been neglected. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957 the time limit for submitting suggestion/objection is 45 days. The provisions related to marginalised groups such as Universal Barrier - Free Access, All-abilities parks, etc. have been provided in draft MPD-2041. Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
4.	Ms. Shalaka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extend the timeline to atleast 6 months as it needs time to mobilise people and make comprehensive suggestions and objections. No of informal sector permitted is very limited. (table 22.21). No mention of street vendors/weekly markets and no enabling and enforceable mention of natural market. No mention of street vendors Act, 2014 and its key provisions. Land allocation for amenities and facilities is missing. Provision for ability to declare no 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957 the time limit for submitting suggestion/objection is 45 days. The provisions related to informal sector are mentioned in clause 4.8, 5.2.4 of draft MPD-2041. The development control norms related to informal sector are provided under table

		vending zones in active travel areas is against the interest of vendors.	22.21 in the draft MPD-2041.
5.	Sh. Depinder Kapur	Vision is not backed by specific and concrete planning goals and priorities in each chapter and theme.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
6.	Sh. Anil Wadhwa	Incentives for green roofs to be given.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
7.	Sh. Debojyoti	Need for tiered planning starting from regional plan to local area plan through master plan and zonal plan. Periodic and regular engagement of stakeholders.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
8.	Dr. Anubhav Pradhan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ In case of proposed zone O-1, no framework is indicated for boosting green economy and permissible activities to be defined at specific locations. ✓ How will existing farming communities be recognised? ✓ Regulatory framework for UCs in zone O-II has not been specified such as Jaitpur and Mithapur. MPD 2041 must specify DCN for these colonies. ✓ Extension of timelines to 90 days. ✓ Rethink adoptive reuse of precincts like Shahajahanabad. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggestion has been noted and will be examined. • As per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957 the time limit for submitting suggestion/ objection is 45 days. • The regulatory framework for UC's shall be examined and worked out. Other issues have been noted and shall be examined.
9.	Ms. Poonam Prakash	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Baseline studies to be provided in public domain. ✓ Mechanism for consideration of objection/suggestion other than board of hearing. The same should also be placed in public domain. ✓ Service Plans to be shared with public. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggestion has been noted and will be examined. • The objection /suggestions are invited and processed by DDA as per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957. • Service Plans received from various agencies have already been included in draft MPD-2041 as Annexure 14.
10.	Sh. Kumar	Baseline studies to be provided in public domain. Difference between vertical mixing and mixed landuse planning.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.

11.	Ms. Kristi Verma	Woman safety needs to be focused in every aspect of planning like transportation, heritage, public greens etc.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
12.	Ms. Malavika	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Increase number of days for objections and suggestions. ✓ Publicise the baseline and background data used. ✓ Volume-I is not backed by any concrete allocation in DCN and Volume-II. ✓ Bring parity with existing laws like street vendors act and SWM rules 2015. ✓ Bring gender lens to provide specific support and infrastructure to bring more woman in to the workforce. 	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
13.	Ms. Abha	Some of the development like underground metro prevents underground water to spread. Similar strategies can be considered for such ecological issues.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
14.	Sh. Ashok Kumar	Publicise baseline reports. There is complete contradiction between the proposals of comprehensive river development plan and water sensitive planning.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
15.	Sh. Paras Tyagi	Measures to stop the unauthorised construction/encroachment on public land in Delhi.	• The matter is dealt separately by Special Task Force (STF).
16.	Sh. Ashok Yadav	Jaitpur, Badarpur area to be removed from Zone O.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
17.	Sh. Naresh Adhlaka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Difference between redevelopment scheme and plans to be clarified and the concerned agency who will notify the same. ✓ Clarification of provisions in chapter 4. ✓ Status of Special Area to be clarified 	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
18.	Sh. Bhupendra Chauhan	Rejection of application for registry of property as it comes under master plan road. Map no. 531, colony no: 432.	• Individual cases are not in the purview of Master Plan.
19.	Ms. Shambhavi Gupta	Publicise baseline reports.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
20.	Sh. Amit Kumar	The Master Plan is for developed areas or for unauthorised localities as well.	• The MPD-2041 will be applicable on NCT of Delhi.
21.	Ar. Kumar	Min. area for TOD to be reduced to .5 ha. FAR area for redevelopment shall be at par with TOD zones.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
22.	Sh. Ajay Gupta	Why residential FAR is offered to Commercial properties (Ref. clause 19.4.2.3 of drat MPD 2041)	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.

23.	Sh. Sanjay Gupta	Special area has been removed and has been limited to walled city only.	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined.
24.	Ms. Urvashi	POPS have issues of lack of inclusiveness. How does plan proposes to check this?	• Suggestion has been noted.
25.	-	Revitalisation of Najafgarh and Barapullah drain.	• Suggestion has been noted.
26.	-	Reference sources are missing. (Population etc)	• Suggestion shall be incorporated.
27.	-	ASI Policy to be incorporated in MPD 2041	• Suggestion has been noted and will be examined. ASI has specific policies which are outside the purview of Master Plan.
28.	-	Permissible activities in Night economy and identified locations.	• The provisions related to night economy are mentioned in clause 5.2.4.
29.	-	Rejuvenation of river Yamuna.	• The provisions related to rejuvenation of river Yamuna are mentioned in clause 3.2.3 of draft MPD-2041.

The process of filing objections/suggestions has to be done on the MPD-2041 portal separately for hearing purpose as per the provisions of Delhi Development Act, 1957.